CHAIRMAN
Harold Frazier
SECRETARY
Colette LeBeau Iron Hawk
TREASURER
Benita Clark
VICE-CHAIRMAN

Raymond Uses The Knife, Jr.



P.O. Box 590 Eagle Butte, South Dakota 57625 (605) 964-4155

STATEMENT OF HAROLD FRAZIER
CHAIRMAN, CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE
BEFORE THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON INDIAN AFFAIRS
HEARING ON S.1535, THE CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE
EQUITABLE COMPENSATION AMENDMENTS ACT OF 2005

June 14, 2006

As Chairman of the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, I am pleased to present this testimony on the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Equitable Compensation Amendments Act of 2005. I thank Senators Thune and Johnson for sponsoring this important piece of legislation, and Senators McCain and Dorgan for holding this hearing.

## THE PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI RIVER BASIN PROGRAM

In 1944, Congress authorized the Pick-Sloan Missouri River Basin Program (the "Pick-Sloan Program") as part of the Flood Control Act of 1944. This plan, which would destroy more Indian lands than any other public works project ever in the United States, was approved without tribal consultation and regardless of the devastating impacts it would have on tribes along the Missouri River.

The Pick-Sloan Program caused massive relocation of members of the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe ("Tribe"), and devastated the Tribe's economy and way of life. In 1948, the United States Army Corps of Engineers ("Corps") took possession of lands within the Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation and began construction of the Oahe Dam and Reservoir Project ("Project"), a part of the Pick-Sloan Program. In total, the Corps acquired 104,492 acres of tribal and allotted lands within the Reservation for the Project. One-hundred and eighty-one families of the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe—or about 30% of the tribal population—were forced to move as a result of the Project. The Tribe and its members lost their most valuable and fertile lands, and lost access to traditional hunting, gathering, and ceremonial grounds. At the same time, the Tribe did not enjoy any benefits of the Project. It did not receive preferential power rates. It did not receive flood control benefits, as it had not

TRIBAL COUNCIL MEMBERS

DISTRICT 1

Raymond Uses The Knife, Jr. Steve Moran

DISTRICT 2

Ted "Buddy" Knife, Jr.

DISTRICT 3

Keeler Condon

Maynard Dupris

DISTRICT 4
Kevin Keckler
Mark Knight
Terrance Veo
Sharon Lee
DISTRICT 5
Gib Marshall
Robert Walters
Lanny LaPlante
Corbin LeBeau, Sr.

DISTRICT 6
Michael Rousseau
Dixie LeCompte

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 58 Stat. 887.

experienced flooding previous to the Project. It did not benefit from any irrigation project. The severe detrimental impacts of the Project upon the Tribe have been the subject of congressional reports.<sup>2</sup>

Congress first compensated the Tribe and its members for their losses in 1954, when Congress authorized payments totaling \$10.6 million for damages, rehabilitation, and administrative expenses. These payments were less than half of the \$23.5 million requested by the Tribe. The Tribe agreed to the bill authorizing the payments only because South Dakota Senator Karl Mundt promised to pursue an amendment in the next session of Congress to increase the payments. No such amendments were introduced or considered.

In later years, reports of the Joint Tribal-Federal Advisory Committee ("JTAC"), the General Accounting Office, and private consultants showed that the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe and other tribes along the Missouri River that had lost lands to the Pick-Sloan Program had not been fairly compensated for their losses.<sup>3</sup>

# THE CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE EQUITABLE COMPENSATION ACT

On April 2, 1998, Senators Daschle and Johnson of South Dakota introduced S.1905, the Cheyenne River Sioux Equitable Compensation Act. Eventually, the 106th Congress enacted the Cheyenne River Sioux Equitable Compensation Act ("Compensation Act") as Title I of Public Law 106-511.

The Compensation Act acknowledged that the Pick-Sloan Program damaged the economy of the Tribe and its members [Section 102(a)(1)(C)], and that the Tribe had not been fairly compensated for the Program [Section 102(a)(3)(A)]. The Compensation Act created the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribal Recovery Trust Fund ("Trust Fund") to provide further compensation to the Tribe. It provided that the Secretary of the Treasury would deposit into the Trust Fund, on October 1, 2011, (a) \$290,722,958, plus (b) an amount equaling the interest that would have accrued on that amount if that amount had been deposited into an account on October 1, 2001, and been invested in securities issued by the Treasury Department and other federal agencies and corporations (also known as "treasuries" and "agencies"), compounded annually [Section 104(b)]. The Treasury Department is to invest such funds in treasuries and agencies [Section 104(c)]. Beginning on October 1, 2011. Treasury shall withdraw the aggregate interest deposited into the fund for the fiscal year, and shall transfer that amount to the Secretary of the Interior, who is authorized to make payments to the Tribe in accordance with a plan adopted by the Tribe for the use of such funds [Section 104(d)]. The Tribal plan must provide that the funds shall be used for one or more of the following purposes: (A) economic development; (B) Infrastructure development:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Senate Report 105-363 at pp. 1-2; Senate Report 106-217 at pp. 1-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Report of the Joint Tribal-Federal Advisory Committee ("JTAC"); Indian Issues: Compensation Claims Analyses Overstate Economic Losses (GAO/RCED-91-77, May 21, 1991); Analysis of Economic Losses Resulting from Lands Taken From the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe for the Oahe Dam, The Robert McLaughlin Company (Solen, N.D., July 1994); Indian Issues: Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe's Additional Compensation Claim for the Oahe Dam (GAO/RCED-98-39, Jan. 28, 1998).

and (C) the educational, health, recreational and social welfare objectives of the Tribe and tribal members [Section 104(f)((2)].

#### <u>S.1535</u>

S.1535 would make three amendments to Public Law 106-511. These amendments would accomplish the following purposes:

- (1) to allow the Tribe to use interest from the Trust Fund to pay additional compensation to tribal members who lost their lands due to the Oahe Dam (or their heirs).
- (2) to capitalize the Trust Fund sooner, using receipts of the Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) (the same method used in equitable compensation acts for some other Missouri River tribes), and to make the interest on the Fund available to the Tribe sooner, on October 1, 2005, rather than 2011.
- (3) to make a technical amendment to provide a methodology for calculating the total amount at which the Trust Fund is to be capitalized.

These three areas of amendment are discussed in more detail below.

The Tribal Council approved of the proposed amendments on June 29, 2004, and sought their introduction. The bill also enjoys the support of tribal members. Attached to this statement is the transcript of a tribal hearing held on the bill on June 14, 2005, at which tribal members testified in support of the bill.

#### **Compensating the Tribal Member Landowners**

The first purpose of the bill is to allow the Tribe to use interest from the Trust Fund to pay additional compensation to tribal members who lost their lands due to the Oahe Dam (or their heirs).

It was not just the Tribe that lost Reservation lands to the Oahe Project. Many tribal member landowners lost lands as well. Of the 104,492 acres of tribal and allotted Reservation lands acquired by the Corps for the Project, 46,274.95 acres, or about 44.3 percent, were allotted lands. Tribal members also lost fee lands within the Reservation. The tribal member landowners, like the Tribe, were not adequately compensated for their losses. At a June 14, 2005 Tribal hearing, tribal members testified as to the inadequate compensation they received for their lands. One tribal member testified, for example, that he was paid \$21.40 per acre, compared to compensation of \$49.22 paid to landowners off the reservation for comparable land. Despite the inadequacy of their compensation, however, the tribal member landowners have never been provided additional compensation for their losses, however, and no bill has ever been enacted to provide them any additional compensation.

Public Law 106-511 provided additional compensation to the Tribe based on the entire 104,492 acres lost by the Tribe and its members as a result of the Oahe Dam Project.

Yet, the Act does not allow the Tribe to use any of the proceeds from the Trust Fund to provide additional compensation to tribal member landowners who suffered the losses. The Tribal Council believes that, consistent with tribal sovereignty, and with the compensatory purpose of Public Law 106-511, it should be able to devote some portion of the interest from the Trust Fund to provide additional equitable compensation to the tribal member landowners or their heirs.

Accordingly, S.1535 would allow the Tribe to amend its plan for the use of the Trust Fund to provide for additional compensation for tribal member landowners that lost lands due to the Project (or their heirs). The bill does not set forth how the Tribe is to provide such compensation, or in what amounts. The Tribe would make those determinations through its own tribal processes, consistent with tribal self-determination. One decision the Tribe has made is that it will first provide compensation to the surviving tribal member landowners who lost their lands, of which there are now about 29, and later to the heirs of the landowners who are deceased.

Although the Tribe intends to provide compensation to tribal member landowners from the interest from the Trust Fund, the Tribe will also use Fund interest for other important purposes of the Act -- namely, (A) economic development; (B) Infrastructure development; and (C) the educational, health, recreational and social welfare objectives of the Tribe and tribal members.

This proposed amendment to allow compensation to tribal member landowners is revenue neutral, as the compensation provided to tribal landowners or their heirs would be provided out of the Trust Fund interest, and would not require a separate fund for the landowners on any additional appropriation for the landowners.

### Making the Funds Available to the Tribe Sooner

The second purpose of S.1535 is to capitalize the Trust Fund sooner, using receipts of the Western Area Power Administration ("WAPA") (the same method used in equitable compensation acts for other Missouri River tribes that were enacted prior to PL 106-511), and to make the interest on the Fund available to the Tribe sooner, rather than in 2011. When Congress enacted Public Law 106-511, it decided not to use WAPA receipts and instead opted for a payment eleven years out in order to avoid "pay-as-you-go" provisions relating to funding new programs.

Public Law 106-511, as enacted, essentially gives the Tribe an IOU from the United States payable on October 1, 2011, for losses it suffered in the 1950s and that it continues to suffer from today. The Tribe would like to receive payment sooner in order to address significant unmet needs in the areas of economic development, infrastructure development, education, health, social welfare, and recreation. The Tribe's needs, possible programs to remedy those needs, and how immediate funding will help alleviate tribal poverty are the subject of separate testimony by Sharon Vogel, administrative manager for the Tribal Ventures Project.

The Tribe proposes two amendments to address this issue. First, it proposes to begin to capitalize the Trust Fund immediately, using receipts of WAPA. This methodology was used to fund trust funds in equitable compensation acts for some other Missouri River tribes.<sup>4</sup> Second, the proposed amendments would make the interest on the Fund available to the Tribe even before the Fund is fully capitalized.

These changes will not result in increased costs to the United States. To the contrary -- quicker capitalization of the Fund will result in savings to the United States, since the Act provides that the principal will be adjusted as of October 1, 2012 to reflect what the interest would have been on the Fund had it been fully capitalized at \$290 million as of October 1, 2001.

### Technical Amendment Regarding Amount Needed to Fully Capitalize the Fund

The third and final purpose of the bill is to make a technical amendment to provide a methodology for calculating the total amount at which the Trust Fund is to be capitalized.

Under current law, when the Fund is capitalized, it is to be invested in an undefined mix of securities issued by the Treasury Department ("treasuries") and federal agencies and corporations ("agencies"). When fully capitalized, the Fund shall contain \$290,722,958 plus the interest that would have accrued from October 1, 2001 until the Fund was fully capitalized if the Fund had been invested in the undefined mix of treasuries and agencies. [Section 104(b)(2)] This is unworkable, since no one can say what interest would have accrued on an undefined mix of securities. S.1535 solves this problem by tying the interest to the Lehman Government Bond Index, an index of treasuries and agencies that would leave no ambiguity. Information on the Lehman Government Bond Index is attached to this statement.

###

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Three Affiliated Tribes and Standing Rock Sioux Tribe Equitable Compensation Act, Public Law 102-575, § 3504 (a)(2) & (b)(2), 106 Stat. 4731, 4732-4733; Crow Creek Sioux Tribe Infrastructure and Development Trust Fund Act of 1996, Public Law 104-223, §4(b), 110 Stat. 3026, 3027-3028; Lower Brule Sioux Tribe Infrastructure Development Trust Fund Act, Public Law 105-132, §4(b), 111 Stat. 2563, 2565.